UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,000	08/29/2006	Yuuichi Ashibe	040256-0139	2578
23392 FOLEY & LAR	7590 06/23/200 RDNER	EXAMINER		
555 South Flow SUITE 3500		NORRIS, JEREMY C		
	S, CA 90071-2411	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/9	591,000	ASHIBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
		Jere	emy C. Norris	2841				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed CONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	od on 12 June 2	വര					
2a)□	•							
3)□		,—						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1955 O	J.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.				
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) $\underline{1-8}$ is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2</u>	<u>006</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examine	er.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/06,6/08.								

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2841

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,151,225 B2 (Fujikami) in view of US 2004/0000352 A1 (Kojima).

Fujikami discloses, referring primarily to figure 2, a splice structure of a superconducting cable comprising: a joint box (3) housing an end of a superconducting (1) cable having a superconducting conductor, a coolant filled in the joint box so as to cool the end (col. 10, lines 5-15), and a pressure-adjusting part (4) provided in the joint box and capable of adjusting the pressure according to a change of pressure in the joint box. Fujikami does not specifically disclose that the pressure-adjusting part is capable of adjusting the pressure by changing its shape [claim 1]. Instead Fujikami generically teaches using a regulating valve (col. 10, lines 15-20). It is well known in the art to use shape memory alloy as a regulating valve as taught by Kojima ([0163]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use shape memory alloy as the regulating valve in the invention of Fujikami as is known in the art and evidenced by Kojima. The motivation for doing so would have been to use a purely mechanical value, thus reducing the possibility of failure.

Additionally, the modified invention of Fujikami teaches, wherein the pressure-adjusting part includes: a casing capable of expanding or shrinking according to a change in the pressure and a gas included in the casing, the gas not becoming a liquid state at the temperature of the coolant in the joint box [claim 2], wherein the casing is arranged in the joint box such that the expanding/shrinking direction of the casing is

Application/Control Number: 10/591,000 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

equivalent to the longitudinal direction of the superconducting cable (Fujikami col. 10, lines 15-20) [claim 3], wherein the casing is arranged in the joint box such that the expanding/shrinking direction of the casing is equivalent to the longitudinal direction of the superconducting cable (Fujikami col. 10, lines 15-20) [claim 4].

Moreover, modified Fujikami teaches a superconducting cable line comprising the splice structure of a superconducting cable according to claims 1-4 [claims 5, 6, 7, 8].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is (571)272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,000 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy C. Norris Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841

/Jeremy C. Norris/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841